

Note

Instructions for chairs and co-chair at oral sessions at the PhD Day 24 June 2022

There will be 3 oral sessions. Each oral session has been assigned two chairs (VIP) and one co-chair (3rd year PhD student).

The oral sessions take place in the Lakeside Lecture Theatre between 14:00-15:20.

As chair/co-chair, you are expected to:

- Read the abstracts for your session in advance and think of at least one or two questions you would like to ask during the presentations.
 - o The abstract book is available on the website in early June at the latest find your session by searching your name.
 - Please note that the oral presentation is the most updated version of the PhD student's project and might differ from the abstract. It was not an option for the PhD students to send in new/updated abstracts after the postponement of the PhD Day 2022.

Annika Haarbye Jensen PhD administrator

Date: 19 May 2022

Page 1/2

- Be at your oral session at 13.40 in order to divide the assignments between the chairs.
 - Find information regarding instructions for the oral presentations
 - Find information about locations in the abstract book

- Graduate School of Health
- Run the session in English. Each presentation is 10 minutes followed by five minutes for questions and feedback. Please keep the time.
- Acknowledge the presence of each student in the session you will receive a list of names that should be returned to the graduate school.
- Give short oral feedback to the presenters, also emphasizing the positive aspects. You can use below evaluation form as inspiration on what to give feedback on. The points are not listed in prioritized order.

On behalf of the Organizing Committee 2022

Annika Haarbye Jensen E-mail: annikahj@au.dk



Guide with potential topics for feedback

_			
	lash	· +~	·III
	usi	LU	нк

Were the importance and perspectives comprehensible to people outside the research area?

Are the slides easy to understand?

Do the slides support the talk?

Are aims and hypotheses clear?

Poster

Is the poster comprehensible to someone outside the field?

Is the layout of the poster suitable (relation between text and illustrations)?

Are the purpose and hypothesis clear?

Was the presentation well-structured (logical)?

Oral presentation

Were the importance and perspectives comprehensible to people outside the research area?

Are the slides easy to understand?

Was the content presented in a logical sequence (progression)?

Do the slides support the talk?

Are aims and hypotheses clear?

Was the conclusion unambiguous?

Good things that could be highlighted

Advice for future presentations