

Form for suggesting an assessment committee (PhD)

The suggestion for members of an assessment committee, including a moderator of the PhD defence, must be submitted by the main supervisor in consultation with a co-supervisor(s) and the PhD student no later than three months before submission of the PhD dissertation. The suggestion must be sent to The Graduate School of Health via e-mail (graduateschoolhealth@au.dk)

Please note that the PhD study **cannot** be extended after submitting this suggestion.

Please find more information about the composition of the assessment committe on our website as well as the Ministerial Order on the PhD Degree Programme at the Universities and Certain Higher Artistic Educational Institutions of 7 August 2013 (Ph.d.-bekendtgørelsen/PhD Order), sections 16-17, which you can find on our website.

In the event of deviations from the applicable guidelines, please provide a detailed explanation in the form under 'Other information'.

Please note that the information you type in this form will be used by the PhD administration for all correspondence with the committee, so please make sure the information is sufficient, correct, up to date and in English.

| MAIN SUPERVISOR | | |
|--|--|--|
| The main supervisor assists the assessment committee, but has no voting rights | | |
| Full name | | |
| Position (job title) | | |
| Academic degrees | | |
| Full work address (section, | | |
| department, institution, city, | | |
| country) | | |
| Email: | | |

| TIME TABLE | |
|--|--|
| Date for submission | |
| Date for submitting assessment of | |
| the dissertation (at least 6 weeks | |
| before the PhD defence) | |
| Date for defence (no later than 3 | |
| months after the submission of the | |
| PhD dissertation) | |
| If applicable, please state the reason | |
| why the defence takes place more | |
| than 3 months after the submission | |
| of the dissertation. | |

| GENERAL INFORMATION | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|--------------|--|
| PhD student, full name | Aca | ademic title | |
| Graduate Programme | | · | |
| Title of PhD dissertation | | | |
| (provisional, if necessary): | | | |
| Title of the defence (if different) | | | |

1 standard page resume (2.400 characters) of the PhD dissertation (in English)

| ASSESSOR 1 External, foreign - employed by another institution than Aarhus University | |
|---|--|
| Full name | |
| Position (job title) | |
| Academic degrees | |
| Full work address (section, | |
| department, institution, city, | |
| country) | |
| Email | |
| Gender | |
| If external member is affiliated with | No: |
| a Danish university/company, tick | Yes: |
| off whether he/she is a non EU/EEA | |
| citizen. | |
| Have you or the PhD student | No: |
| recently (within the past three years) | Yes: 🗌 - please elaborate and explain why there is no |
| co-published with this assessor | disqualification |
| Other circumstances, which can indicate disqualification? Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine | No: Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified: |
| lines about e.g. research area, | |
| reputation and scope of scientific | |
| production): | |
| production). | |
| | |
| | |

| Full name | ASSESSOR 2 External, employed by another institution than Aarhus University | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Academic degrees | | | |
| Full work address (section, department, institution, city, country) Imail Email Image: Cender If external member is affiliated with a Danish university/company, tick | Position (job title) | | |
| department, institution, city, country) | Academic degrees | | |
| country)Image: second seco | Full work address (section, | | |
| Email | department, institution, city, | | |
| Gender If external member is affiliated with a Danish university/company, tick off whether he/she is a non EU/EEA citizen. No: □ Have you or the PhD student recently (within the past three years) co-published with this assessor No: □ Other circumstances, which can indicate disqualification? No: □ Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific No: □ | country) | | |
| If external member is affiliated with a Danish university/company, tick off whether he/she is a non EU/EEA citizen. No: | Email | | |
| a Danish university/company, tick off whether he/she is a non EU/EEA citizen.Yes:Have you or the PhD student recently (within the past three years) co-published with this assessorNo:CompanyYes:Other circumstances, which can indicate disqualification?No:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientificNo: | Gender | | |
| off whether he/she is a non EU/EEA Image: Constraint of the state of the sta | If external member is affiliated with | No: | |
| citizen.Image: citizen.Have you or the PhD student recently (within the past three years) co-published with this assessorNo: > please elaborate and explain why there is no disqualificationOther circumstances, which can indicate disqualification?No: > Please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientificImage: Circumstance in the circumstance i | a Danish university/company, tick | Yes: | |
| Have you or the PhD student No: □ recently (within the past three years) Yes:□ - please elaborate and explain why there is no co-published with this assessor disqualification Other circumstances, which can No: □ indicate disqualification? Yes:□ - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified: Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, indicate disque of scientific | off whether he/she is a non EU/EEA | | |
| recently (within the past three years) co-published with this assessorYes: - please elaborate and explain why there is no disqualificationOther circumstances, which can indicate disqualification?No: - Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientificImage: Comparison of the scient of | citizen. | | |
| recently (within the past three years) co-published with this assessorYes: - please elaborate and explain why there is no disqualificationOther circumstances, which can indicate disqualification?No: - Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientificImage: Comparison of the scient of | | | |
| co-published with this assessor disqualification Other circumstances, which can indicate disqualification? No: □ Yes:□ - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified: Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | Have you or the PhD student | No: | |
| Other circumstances, which can indicate disqualification? No: □ Yes:□ - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified: Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | recently (within the past three years) | Yes: - please elaborate and explain why there is no | |
| indicate disqualification?Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | co-published with this assessor | disqualification | |
| indicate disqualification?Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| indicate disqualification?Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| indicate disqualification?Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| indicate disqualification?Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified:Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | Other circumstances which can | No: | |
| Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | menneer somg usquamear | |
| lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| lines about e.g. research area, reputation and scope of scientific | Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine | | |
| reputation and scope of scientific | | | |
| | | | |
| production): | production): | | |
| | | | |

| Chairman of the committee Tenured at AU, Health also the moderator of the defence | | |
|--|---|--|
| Full name | | |
| Position (job title) | | |
| Academic degrees | | |
| Full work address (section, | | |
| department, institution, city, | | |
| country) | | |
| Email | | |
| Gender | | |
| Have you or the PhD student | No: | |
| recently (within the past three years) | Yes: 🗌 - please elaborate and explain why there is no | |
| co-published with this assessor | disqualification | |
| Other circumstances which can indicate disqualification? Satisfactory reasoning (six to nine | No: Yes: - please elaborate and explain why it will not lead to the member being disqualified: | |
| lines about e.g. research area, | | |
| reputation and scope of scientific | | |
| production): | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

MEMBERS OF THE ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE

The members of the committee must not be close colleagues or related to neither the main supervisor nor the PhD student; both previously and presently. Moreover, they must not:

- 1. work at the same section as the main supervisor nor the student
- 2. have previously supervised the student, for example on a thesis project
- 3. be co-authors of articles/manuscripts forming parts of the dissertation
- 4. recently (within the past three years) have co-published with the main supervisor or the student

The assessment committee must comprise three recognized researchers within the relevant field of study.

The members of the committee must be at associate professor level or higher.

The assessment committee must comprise both genders (if possible)

When submitting this form it is under the following conditions; (please tick)

- That the stated persons have agreed to participate and that they have been informed of relevant deadlines and conditions for the work as described in guidelines for assessment of PhD dissertation and PhD defence.
- That the main supervisor is not aware of any reasons for disqualification as a result of the make-up of the committee and in relation to the rules concerning the composition of the assessment committee.
- That the PhD student has approved the composition of the committee and understands that the PhD study cannot be extended after submitting the suggestion.

That the suggested assessors are Associate Professors, Professors or the like, and that a CV has been attached if the assessors are not Associate Professors or Professors, demonstrating that the assessors have qualifications corresponding to at least an Associate Professor, according to the rules concerning the composition of the assessment committee.

That the main supervisor has aimed at having both genders represented in the assessment committee.

Any questions may be directed to The Graduate School of Health: graduateschoolhealth@au.dk

Please submit the form to: graduateschoolhealth@au.dk